



A Study on Environmental Ethics with Special Reference to Applied Ethics

Ruma Payeng^{*}

Abstract

Environmental ethics is one of the prominent areas of applied ethics, like business ethics and other professional ethics such as medical ethics; and this is in the sense that it is application of the results of normative ethics to the sphere of the environment, i.e. to the broad world of humans, animals, plants and other inanimate things of nature. Environmental ethics examines the relationship between human beings and nature from the moral perspective. It guides how we should treat nature, animals, ecosystems, and future generations. The fundamental forms of reasoning that can be used to support the preservation of natural resources and their sustainable usage are also reconstructed by environmental ethics. In the twenty first century, humanity faces unprecedented environmental challenges - climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, and depletion of natural resources. These crises have made environmental ethics more important than ever. Numerous academic fields, such as environmental law, environmental sociology, eco-theology, ecological economics, ecology, and environmental geography, among others, all contribute to human civilization through environmental ethics. This article's aims at the explain how humans should interact with nature. It also analyze different environmental issues from the viewpoint of environmental ethics. By promoting responsibility, sustainability, conservation, and justice, it helps, ensure a healthy and balanced environment for present and future generations.

Keywords: environmental ethics, applied ethics, biodiversity, pollution etc.

Introduction

Environmental ethics—the study of ethical questions raised by human relations with the non-human environment—emerged as an important subfield of philosophy during the 1970s. As a form of applied ethics, environmental ethics seeks to determine how human beings are to live with the right relations to the natural world. In this endeavour, environmental ethics cannot be simply content with the principles of preserving the balance of nature and living in accord with the design “built into the very nature of things”; the role of us, the moral agents, is of inevitable importance in rationally devising the required standard of character and rules of conduct. In the modern world, rapid industrialization, population growth, and technological advancement have led to serious environmental problems such as pollution, climate change, deforestation, and loss of biodiversity. These problems raise ethical question about how humans should treat nature and how natural resources should be used responsibly. Environmental ethics emphasizes that humans have moral duties toward the environment and other living beings. It challenges the traditional view that nature exists only for human use and highlights the importance of respecting and protecting ecosystem.

Objectives

- To study environmental ethic as a branch of applied ethics.
- To analyze the different types of Environmental Ethics.

^{*} Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy, Tinsukia College, Tinsukia 786125, Assam. Email id: ruma.payeng@gmail.com. Phone no: 8876695554



- To study different issues of Environmental Ethics.
- To find out some possible solutions for Environmental issues.
- To study importance of Environmental Ethics.

Methodology

The research paper is descriptive and analytical in nature. The source of collection is primary as well as secondary data. The study critically analyses major concepts and arguments in environmental ethics and applies them to contemporary environmental issues. The research is conceptual rather than empirical. It aims to examine the ethical foundations of responsibility rather than measure a particular environmental phenomenon through statistical methods.

Discussion

Environmental ethics is one of the prominent areas of applied ethics, like business ethics and other professional ethics such as medical ethics; and this is in the sense that it is application of the results of normative ethics to the sphere of the environment, i.e. to the broad world of humans, animals, plants and the other. Environmental ethics attempts to provide the rational grounds for such a system of moral principles. In this sense, as a form of applied ethics, environmental ethics seeks to determine how human beings are to live with the right relations to the natural world. It takes a comprehensive approach to comprehending and assessing our moral duties to save and maintain the environment. At the heart of environmental ethics is a question about what has value—what matters. The claim that the environment, or parts of it, has intrinsic value has been centrally important. To say that the environment has intrinsic value here is just to say that it has value beyond anything it does to help us achieve our aims—beyond, for example, providing ecosystem services, recreational opportunities, and so on. From its earliest days until the present, environmental ethics has been concerned not only with what value means but also with what actually has value and with the implications such values may have for human relations and human behavior. Almost everyone agrees that human beings have high moral significance and that ethical issues arise when some human actions involving the environment negatively impact other humans.

Environmental ethics defined by a range of ethical theories, such as virtue ethics, consequentialism, utilitarianism, and deontological ethics. These ethical theories offer a framework for comprehending our moral duties to the environment and the appropriate course of action for its preservation.

Types of Environmental Ethics

Environmental ethics includes different approaches that explain how human should relate to nature. These types differ in how much moral value they give to humans, animals, plants, and ecosystems.

- **Anthropocentrism (Human-centered)**

Argues that humans are the sole holders of intrinsic value, and nature is valuable only for its utility to human beings. The moral duties we owe to the natural world are because of, rather derived from, the duties we owe to one another as human beings. Things of nature, on this view, are supposed to be



primarily serving human ends and interests. Environmental protection is important only if it benefits human welfare.

- **Biocentrism (Life-centered)**

Biocentrism or life-centered view, holds that our duties to natural world are independent of the duties we owe to human beings and arise directly from our moral relations to the natural world. All living organism are considered not as instrumental or subservient to humans and but as having inherent worth and intrinsic value. It emphasizes a duty to protect all forms of life.

- **Ecocentrism (Ecosystem-centred)**

A holistic approach that values the entire ecosystem, including both living organism and non-living elements. Environmental philosopher Aldo Leopold supported this idea through his “Land Ethic”, which views humans as members of a broader ecological community. It emphasizes the integrity, stability, and beauty of the ecological community rather than just individual components.

- **Deep Ecology**

Deep ecology is that promotes the inherent worth of all living beings regardless of their instrumental utility to human needs, and argues that modern human societies should be restructured in accordance with such ideas. Deep ecologist emphasizes an ungraded, nonrevolutionary continuity between human and non-human nature, to the point of outright denial of a boundary between adaptive animality and innovative humanity.

- **Social Ecology**

Social ecology links environmental problems to social issues such as inequality, exploitation, and political systems. It suggests that environmental degradation cannot be solved without addressing social injustice.

- **Ecofeminism**

Ecofeminism connects environmental exploitation with the oppression of women. It argues that both nature and women have historically been dominated and exploited under similar systems of power.

- **Theocentric (God-centered) Ethics**

Theocentric environmental ethics is based on religious beliefs. It views nature as God’s creation, and humans are considered caretakers or stewards of responsible for protecting it. Many global discussions on sustainability, including those supported by the United Nations, reflect stewardship principles found in religious traditions.

Issues of Environmental Ethics

Environmental ethics deals with issues related to the rights of individuals that are fundamental to life well-being. This concerns not only the needs of each person today, but also those who will come after us. It also deals with the rights of other living creatures that inhabit our earth. One of the most serious problems with the environmental movement today is that its moral position is badly articulated and defended.



Climate change and Global warming:

The world community has produced some significant tools to protect the environment, and the topic of the global environment has been explored. Because of its direct effects on the environment and development, climate change is actually one of the major worldwide problems. Intergenerational justice becomes central because current generations cause harm that future generations will disproportionately suffer. This raises questions about our duties to people who don't yet exist, and whether standard ethical frameworks (which assume identifiable victims) can handle such cases.

Pollution:

In a reverse way, the tragedy of the commons reappears in problems of pollution. Here it is not a question taking something out of the commons, but of putting something in- sewage, or chemical, radioactive, and heat wastes into water; noxious and dangerous fumes into the air; and distracting and unpleasant advertising signs into the line of the sight. The rational man finds that his shares of the cost of the wastes he discharges into the commons is less than the cost of purifying his wastes before releasing them. Since this is true for everyone, we are locked into a system of "fouling our own nest," so long as we behave only as independent, rational, free enterprisers.

Over population and its environmental impacts:

Resource consumption scales with population, but consumption patterns vary dramatically. The average person in a high-income country has a far larger than ecological footprint than someone in a low-income country, making it unclear whether population size or affluence is the primary driver of environmental harm. Reproductive rights create tension between individual autonomy and collective environmental responsibility. Policies that restrict reproduction to protect the environment raise serious concerns about bodily autonomy and state overreach.

Biodiversity Loss and Species Extinction:

Species extinction due to habitat destruction, pollution, and climate change is another major issue. Environmental ethics questions whether humans have right to drive other species to extinction for economic gain.

Deforestation:

Large scale cutting of forests for agriculture, industry, and urban development raises ethical concerns about biodiversity loss and climate impact. Intrinsic value of ecosystem tested here: does a rainforest have moral standing independent of its usefulness to humans? An Ecocentrism would say - yes; an anthropocentrism would ground its value in the services it provides.

Animal Rights and Welfare:

We have no right to push a species that has taken millions of years to evolve towards extinction.

Debates on animal rights center on moral consideration for non-human animals, advocating against exploitation and suffering. Welfare approaches focus on ensuring humane treatment while allowing use in research and agriculture, highlight the need for ethical standards. Habitat destruction as indirect harm, challenges us to consider



whether we're responsible for animal suffering caused through environmental degradation, not just through direct cruelty like factory farming.

Environmental Justice and Equity:

Environmental justice advocates for equal protection and consideration of all communities, particularly those marginalized by environmental hazards. It aims at to address the disproportionate impact of environmental issues on low-income and communities of color. Distributive justice demands that environmental benefits and burdens be shared fairly across communities. Intersectionality reveals how race, class, and geography compound to create environmental vulnerability. The same communities facing multiple forms of marginalization often face the worst environmental conditions, which is why environmental justice advocates argue you can't separate ecological issue from social ones.

Possible solutions for environmental issues:

Environmental issues such as pollution, climate change, deforestation, and loss of biodiversity have become serious challenges in the modern world. Environmental ethics especially when applied through applied ethics, helps in finding practical and moral solutions to these problems. The following are some important possible solutions to environmental issues.

- One of the most effective solution is to create awareness among people about the importance of protecting nature. Education can help individuals understand their moral responsibility toward the environment.
- Natural resources should be used carefully to avoid depletion. Sustainable development ensures that present needs are met without harming future generations.
- Government should make strict laws to control pollution, protect forests, and conserve wildlife. International cooperation is also necessary to solve global problems.
- Protecting forests, rivers, and wildlife is essential for maintaining ecological balance. Important ecosystems like the Amazon Rainforest must be conserved to support biodiversity and regulate the climate.
- Replacing fossil fuels with renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydro power can reduce pollution and climate change. Clean energy helps in maintaining a healthy environment.
- Industries should adopt eco-friendly technologies and reduce waste and emissions. Ethical business practices can help balance economic growth with environmental protection.
- Individual person should contribute by reducing waste saving water, planting trees, and avoiding unnecessary use of plastic. Small actions by individuals can make a big difference in protecting the environment.
- Environmental ethics stress intergenerational justice, meaning the present generation must protect the Earth so that future generations can live in a safe and healthy environment.



Importance of Environmental Ethics

- Make us aware of indiscriminate and destructive human activities.
- Inculcate moral values towards nature and learn to respect various life forms through environmental ethics.
- Concerned with the issues of responsible personal conduct with respect to natural landscape, resource, species and non-human organisms.
- Conduct with respect to person is, of course, the direct concern of modern philosophy.

Results and Conclusion

Environmental ethics plays a vital role in shaping a responsible relationship between human beings and the natural world. The different types environmental ethics- anthropocentrism, biocentrism, ecocentrism, deep ecology, social ecology, ecofeminism, and theocentric ethics- offer various perspectives on how humans should treat the environment. In the modern world, increasing environmental problems such as climate change, pollution, deforestation, and loss of biodiversity highlight the urgent need for ethical awareness and sustainable practices. Environmental ethics when applied through the framework of applied ethics, provides important guidance for solving environmental problems. It encourages individuals, societies, and governments to adopt responsible toward nature. Environmental ethics reminds us that nature is not merely a resource of human use but a complex system that deserves respect and protection. With special reference to applied ethics, environmental ethics becomes practical and meaningful because it guides real-life decisions and actions. A sustainable future requires more than technological advancement. It requires ethical transformation at the level of individuals, communities, institutions, industries, and governments. Respect for nature, responsible resource use, environmental justice, and concern for future generations should form the foundation of environmental decision-making. Environmental thinkers such as Aldo Leopold emphasized that humans are part of a larger ecological community and must act responsibly toward land, water, plants, and animals. Environmental ethics provides a moral framework that encourages sustainable development, conservation of natural resources, and protection of biodiversity. By applying ethical principles in everyday life and policymaking, humanity can work toward a balanced and sustainable future where both people and nature can coexist harmoniously.

References

- ARUGUM, E, (2008) Principles of Environmental Ethics. Sarup Book Publication, India.
- Mahapatra, P.K. (2008) Ethics and Society an Essay in Applied Ethics. Concept publishing company, New Delhi.
- Sheikh, Zaney Ali (March 2022) Significance of Environmental Ethics in the Sustenance of Human Society: A study. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), Volume 9.
- Swain Nilamadhab (May 2020) Issues in Environmental Ethics. JETIR, Volume 7.
- <https://www.researchgate.net>